BRIEF REPORTS

Brief Reports are short papers which report on completed research or are addenda to papers previously published in the **Physical Review.** A Brief Report may be no longer than four printed pages and must be accompanied by an abstract.

Electron- γ angular correlations in ¹³⁴Ba

A. Gammal, M. T. F. da Cruz, and I. D. Goldman

Laboratório do Acelerador Linear, Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo, C.P. 66318, 05389-970, São Paulo, Brazil

G. Kenchian

Escola Técnica Federal de São Paulo, Rua Pedro Vicente 625, CEP 01109-010, São Paulo, Brazil (Received 29 January 1998; revised manuscript received 5 May 1998)

Electron- γ directional correlation measurements have been done in ¹³⁴Ba for the first time using an apparatus including cooled Si surface barrier detector for the electrons and HPGe for the γ 's. Experimental particle parameters for pure intense *E*2 transitions have been calculated from $\gamma\gamma$ and electron- γ results, giving $b_2(605K) = 1.31(28)$ and $b_2(795K) = 1.20(23)$. Some mixed transitions were also analyzed. The 326*K* electron conversion ratio $\alpha_{326K} = 14(6) \times 10^{-2}$ was measured. An upper bound for the *E*0/E2 mixing ratio was estimated to the $2^+\prime \rightarrow 2^+(563 \text{ keV})$ transition by the $e\gamma$ interference *E*0/*E*2 method, giving $q_K^2(E0/E2) \leq 0.06$. [S0556-2813(98)00909-1]

PACS number(s): 23.20.En, 23.20.Gq, 23.20.Nx, 27.60.+j

Conversion electrons have long helped to assert spin, parity and multipole mixing ratios [1–3]. The $2'^+ \rightarrow 2^+$ transition allows the electron mixing *E*0, *M*1 and *E*2 [4]. The *E*0/*E*2 mixing ratio can be inferred by electron- γ correlations or alternatively by the $\gamma\gamma$ indirect cascade method [5,6]. Although ¹³⁴Ba is not expected to have the *E*0 channel highly favored, we used our setup to investigate it and also to cross check data with the $\gamma\gamma$ experiment (see Fig. 1).

Our main goal was to measure directional $e \gamma$ angular correlations in ¹³⁴Cs decay for the first time. These data would provide particle parameters and a cross check of $\gamma\gamma$ results.

We describe the experimental methods, i.e., the sources preparation, electron- γ apparatus, and the method of acquiring and correcting data. We then discuss the electron- γ data are analyzed and compared to the predicted results using the theoretical particle parameters and multipole mixing ratios extracted from $\gamma\gamma$ measurements [7]. An upper limit for the $q_K(E0/E2)$ mixing ratio is inferred in the $2'^+ \rightarrow 2^+$ transition. The electron- γ data together with $\gamma\gamma$ data provided precise internal conversion coefficients (ICC) for the 795K and 802K cascades. A first evidence of the 326K transition is described and the ICC estimated. Finally the main results and conclusions are summarized.

A thin spot (<1 mg/cm², 3 mm diameter) of natural CsCl was made on a 99.99%, 0.7 mg/cm² aluminum foil, by vacuum deposition, for the $e\gamma$ experiment. The source was covered with a thin spot (~20 μ g/cm², 5 mm diameter) of carbon to avoid deliquescence. The source was then irradiated at IPEN, Sao Paulo, with 10¹³ neutrons/cm² s flux for 200 h, resulting in a specific activity of about 10 μ Ci. After fifteen days the aluminum contaminants (as Au) decayed.

The electrons were detected by a windowless Si surface barrier detector, surface area 200 mm², depletion depth 1.5 mm, reverse polarization tension 150 V, 2 μ A leak current.

A 17 keV resolution at room temperature was obtained with a ²⁰⁷Bi thin calibration source. The Si detector was cooled down to -30 °C by means of a cold finger. Then the leak current dropped to 2 nA and the resolution improved to 6.5 keV. With the ¹³⁴Cs thin source the resolution was around 8.0 keV for the 605*K* conversion electrons. The Si detector was operated in vacuum and a device provided rotation maintaining vacuum better than 10^{-6} torr. The distance to the source was 3 cm. The solid angle was corrected assuming that the efficiency is constant with the absorption angle. The γ detector was a 50 cm³ HPGe, 45.8 mm crystal diameter, 36.1 cm crystal length and placed 10.1 cm from the source. The solid angle corrections made in the $\gamma\gamma$ experiment were also done in the γ detector. The biparametric data were accumulated in two arrays of $4096(\gamma) \times 2048(e)$ channels.



FIG. 1. Level scheme of 134 Ba from 134 Cs decay, β^- feeding taken from [19].

1829

TABLE I. $e\gamma$ directional angular correlations in Ba¹³⁴. Our experimental data are presented in bold characters and below them are given the values predicted using experimental $\gamma\gamma$ multipole mixing ratios [7] and the theoretical particle parameters interpolated from [12]. The last column shows the superposing lines (SL) and background which significantly increased the errors. C=Compton.

No.	γ	Cascade (keV)	χ^2	$lpha_0$	A ₂₂	A_{44}	SL
	475						
1		475γ 563 <i>K</i>	0.33	345(27)	0.16(17) 0.077	-0.01(22) 0.0027	
2		$475\gamma 605K$	0.45	308(20)	-0.11(13)	0.09(18)	563 _{L,M}
3		175 × 1168K	0.17	87(24)	0.115	0.0022 0.23(76)	
5		475 y 1108K	0.17	0.7(24)	-0.460	-0.04	
	563						
4		$475K\ 563\gamma$	0.34	293(25)	- 0.09(17)	0.21(25)	$C605_{\gamma}$
5		$563\gamma 605K$	0.59	1715(35)	-0.112(41)	-0.048(57)	
				$\delta_{\gamma} = 28.5$	-0.144	-0.026	
6		802K 563 v	0.52	$\delta_{\gamma} = 10.9$ 776(15)	-0.199 -0.011(41)	-0.026 -0.056(57)	
		002H 505 y	0.52	//0(15)	-0.010	0.00059	
	569						
7		$569\gamma 605K$	0.08	3225(42)	0.142(27)	0.001(38)	
8		$569\gamma 795K$	0.16	1671(21)	0.131(25)	-0.007(36)	
		-			0.149	-0.026	
0	605	175 X (05	0.74	402(101)	0.15(50)	0.05(71)	0.0-
9		$4/5K\ 005\gamma$	0.74	403(101)	-0.15(50) 0.08	0.05(71)	C,β
10		$563K 605\gamma$	0.50	2266(100)	-0.240(94)	0.13(14)	$569_K, \beta^-$
11		$569K 605\gamma$	21	4530(110)	-0.147 0 191(49)	-0.040 0 022(69)	563 "B ⁻
		507N 005 Y	2.1	4550(110)	0.057	0.0006	$505_K, p$
12		$795K 605\gamma$	0.94	8619(80)	0.143(20) 0.134	-0.015(27)	802_K
13		$802K 605\gamma$	0.24	652(64)	0.003(212)	0.14(29)	795 _K
14		$1038K 605\gamma$	0.10	37 6(67)	-0.028 0 39(37)	0.001 - 0.11(51)	
14		1050K 005 y	0.10	57.0(07)	0.150	0.084	
15		$1365K \ 605\gamma$	0.51	31.4(37)	0.39(25)	-0.41(32)	
	705				0.119	0.20	
16	/95	569K 795γ	1.5	3768(90)	0.042(49)	0.041(69)	β^{-}
. –		, 			0.057	-0.0004	
1/		/95γ 605K	2.6	13016(102)	0.115(17) 0.161	-0.071(24) -0.00032	$569_{L,M}$
	802						
18		$802\gamma 563K$	0.87	1350(22)	-0.017(34)	0.029(47)	
19		$802 \times 605 K$	25	1115(11)	0.020 0.024(40)	0.0003	563
1)		802 y 803K	2.5	1113(11)	-0.031	0.0003	$JUJ_{L,M}$
	1038						
20		802γ 1168K	1.1	28(4)	- 0.07 (27)	-0.22(37)	
21		$1038\gamma \ 605K$	1.4	109(7)	0.122 0.38(12)	0.13 (17)	
		·		. ,	0.352	0.0012	
	1168	47577 4 4 - 2	0.71		0 =0/22		
22		4/5Κ 1168γ	0.76	51(6)	- 0.50(23) -0.396	U.34(34) 0.025	
23		$802K$ 1168 γ	1.3	76(6)	0.46(14)	0.09(20)	
					0.134	0.002	
24	1365	1365 × 605 V	1 1 4	200(0)	0 217(62)	_0 150(00)	
24		1303 Y 003A	1.10	290(9)	0.145	-0.0005	

Around 85 measurements of one hour each were made at 90°, 120°, 150°, 210°, 240°, 270°, and 167 one hour measurements at 180°. Half life effect was also corrected. A maximum of 3 keV shift and resolution ≤ 9 keV in the 795 K line was accepted in the electron spectra.

A least-square fit to the correlation function, $W(\theta)$,

$$W(\theta) = \alpha_0 [1 + Q_{22} A_{22} P_2(\cos \theta) + Q_{44} A_{44} P_4(\cos \theta)]$$
(1)

was performed to the corrected coincidence rates to find α_0 , $A_{22}=B_2(e)A_2(\gamma)$, and $A_{44}=B_4(e)A_4(\gamma)$ or alternatively $A_{22}=B_2(\gamma)A_2(e)$ and $A_{44}=B_4(\gamma)A_4(e)$ and thus 24 coincidence cascades were measured. The orientation coefficients B_{λ} and distribution coefficients A_{λ} are defined in Ref. [8] and depend on the multipole mixing ratio of the transition.

The γ detector geometrical correction factors Q_{λ} were computed using the method of Camp and van Lehn [9].

We assumed that the electron detector efficiency does not change with the entrance angle(θ_a) and its geometric correction must be $Q_2(e) = J_2/J_0 = \nu(\nu+1)/2$ and $Q_4(e) = J_4/J_0$ $= \nu(\nu+1)(7\nu^2-3)/8$, where $\nu = \cos \theta_a$ [9–11]. In our experiment $\theta_a = 19.1^\circ$ which gives $Q_2(e) = 0.9189$ and $Q_4(e)$ = 0.7468.

The $e \gamma$ results for A_{22} and A_{44} obtained from experimental data using the fitting procedure are listed in bold in Table I. The values predicted using the experimental $\gamma\gamma$ multipole mixing ratios [7] and the theoretical particle parameters interpolated from Hager and Seltzer tables [12], are shown also below the data in bold characters. Although there are large errors in most cascades, there is an overall agreement between the results of $\gamma \gamma$ and $e \gamma$ data analysis, except when the measurement had superposition with other lines as indicated in column SL. We have also enough statistics to extract experimental b_2 particle parameters for the transitions 605Kand 795K. They are obtained by the relationship $b_2 = A_{22}(e$ $(-\gamma)/A_{22}(\gamma-\gamma)$. We have five cascades that can be used to find b_2 for the 605K. We disconsidered the 795–605 K because the 605K should be separated from $569_{L,M}$ taking out the β^- background. Averaging for the four cascades left, we have $b_2(605K) = 1.31(28)$, that is in good agreement to the theoretical value $b_2 = 1.42$ interpolated from Hager and Seltzer tables [12]. The transition 569–795 K gives $b_2(795K)$ = 0.131(25)/0.1087(21) = 1.20(23), when we considered the 795 keV as a pure E2 transition. This is also in good agreement with the theoretical value $b_2 = 1.31$. If we use this theoretical particle parameter, we can even infer the multipole mixing ratio in the 569 γ transition. To obtain δ in the fitting procedure, instead of the usual α_0 , A_{22} , and A_{44} fit, a direct least-squares search for α_0 and δ [13] was performed, i.e., we took into account the constraint between A_{22} and A_{44} , while minimizing χ^2 . This gives $\delta_{569}(E2/M1) = 0.274(44)$, with $\chi^2 = 0.70$, in agreement with the value 0.226(9) obtained in the $\gamma\gamma$ measurements [7].

The 563 keV transition also has the possibility of an E0 [14–16] decay mode competing with E2 and M1 [4], since it is a $2^+ \rightarrow 2^{+\prime}$ decay. Using our experimental result $A_{22} = -0.240(94)$ for the cascade and dividing by the theoretical A_2 from the second transition (-0.5976) we get B_2



FIG. 2. Electron spectrum for the 475 keV γ window obtained adding measurements done at several angles.

=0.40(15). To get this result from the theoretical expression [17] for B_2 , we have estimated [7] that $q_K^2(E0/E2) \equiv \langle E0 \rangle_K^2 / \langle E2 \rangle_K^2 \leq 0.06$.

Comparing the $\gamma\gamma$ and $e\gamma$ measurements we saw that the $\gamma\gamma$ gave, in general, a much better precision in the multipolar mixing ratios.

We can also extract ICC from the $e \gamma$ measurements. The detector efficiency does not have strong changes in this range [18] and we can extract the 795*K* electron conversion ratio from our measurements combined with other results from the expression

$$\alpha_{795K} \equiv \frac{I_{795K}}{I_{795\gamma}} = \left(\frac{I_{569\gamma - 795K}}{I_{569\gamma - 605K}}\right) \times \left(\frac{I_{569\gamma - 605\gamma}}{I_{569\gamma - 795\gamma}}\right) \times \left(\frac{I_{605K}}{I_{605\gamma}}\right)$$
$$= \left(\frac{1671(21)}{3225(42)}\right) \times (1) \times (5.03 \times 10^{-3})$$
$$= 2.59(5) \times 10^{-3}. \tag{2}$$

where $I_{605K}/I_{605\gamma} = \alpha_{605K} = 5.03 \times 10^{-3}$ was taken from the theoretical value quoted by Chand [2]. Our value of α_{795K} is in better agreement with the theoretical result 2.58×10^{-3} [2] for pure *E*2 than the earlier result $2.71(10) \times 10^{-3}$ [2] obtained from single electron spectra, probably because in the coincidence method the 569γ window acts as a filter thus "cleaning" the electron spectrum, reducing background and superposition from neighbor lines. Using the relative intensities measured by Wang *et al.* [19], we also extracted the 802K conversion coefficient as $\alpha_{802K} = 2.66(8) \times 10^{-3}$, in agreement with the theoretical $2.56(4) \times 10^{-3}$ and experimental $2.49(12) \times 10^{-3}$ quoted [2]. We also tried to extract the ICC from other cascades but they have large errors and have superposition of other lines.

We identified for the first time *K* electrons from the 326 keV transition. The 326*K* line is highly hidden in the β spectrum. It was only distinguishable from the background when we added the spectra of the seven angles measured and we made a window in the 475 keV γ (Fig. 2). An energy calibration was made with 475*K*, 563*K*, 569*K*, 1038*K*, 1168*K*, and 1365*K* using cuts where these lines were free. The theoretical energy value for the 326*K* is E_{326K} = 326.5-37.4 = 289.1 keV, where the data from the γ energy and the *K* shell were taken from Lederer and Shirley [20]. With this calibration, at the 475 keV γ window, we found a line at

BRIEF REPORTS

287.7(11) keV and area of 502(221) counts. In the same window, the adjusted area in the 605K line was 2272(147) counts. Using the same method described above we can estimate the ICC for the 326 keV transition, giving

$$\alpha_{326K} = \frac{I_{326K}}{I_{326\gamma}} = \left(\frac{I_{326K-475\gamma}}{I_{475\gamma-605K}}\right) \times \left(\frac{I_{475\gamma-605\gamma}}{I_{326\gamma-475\gamma}}\right) \times \left(\frac{I_{605K}}{I_{605\gamma}}\right)$$
$$\approx \left(\frac{502(221)}{2272(147)}\right) \times \left(\frac{2.497(13)\% \times 82.4(3)\%}{27.28(6)\% \times 0.059(6)\%}\right)$$
$$\times (5.03 \times 10^{-3})$$
$$\approx 14(6) \times 10^{-2}.$$

The theoretical values for the lowest multipolarities are $\alpha_{326K}(E2) = 2.7 \times 10^{-2}$ and $\alpha_{326K}(M1) = 3.1 \times 10^{-2}$, interpolated from [12]. The discrepancy led us to conclude that this is a preliminary result and a better resolution of the electron detector could eventually achieve more confident results.

The particle parameters in the 605K and 795K transitions in electron- γ measurements agree with the theoretical values. An upper bound for the E0/E2 mixing was estimated for the 563 keV transition by $e\gamma$ interference method giving $q_K^2 \le 0.06$. We developed a method of extracting ICC from electron- γ correlation measurements and obtained $\alpha_{795K} = 2.59(5) \times 10^{-3}$ and $\alpha_{802K} = 2.66(8) \times 10^{-3}$, both in agreement with previous data and theoretical values [2]. By this method we obtained $\alpha_{326K} \approx 14(6) \times 10^{-2}$, about one order of magnitude higher than the expected theoretical value. A better resolution could eventually confirm or discard this preliminary result.

We acknowledge V. R. Vanin, who helped us with his spectrum analysis program (PANORAMIX), M. N. Martins, O. Helene, P. Gouffon, P. R. Pascholati, and C. B. Zamboni for helpful discussions, Y. Miayao and F.T. Degaspari, who helped in the project of the rotating apparatus, O. Dietzsch for the ²⁰⁷Bi source, A. L. Lapoli for the CsCl, R.T. dos Santos from the chemical laboratory, V. Sciani and R.N. Saxena, who helped in irradiations at IPEN, the thin film laboratory at Pelletron, the electronic group at LAL, J. Pupin and R. Tramontano for the figures, F. Becherini from the IFUSP computational center, and T. Frederico for reading the manuscript. We thank CNPq and FAPESP of Brazil for support.

- W. van Wijngaarden and R. D. Connor, Can. J. Phys. 42, 504 (1964).
- [2] B. Chand, J. Goswamy, D. Mehta, N. Singh, and P. N. Trehan, Can. J. Phys. 68, 1479 (1990).
- [3] N. M. Marchilashvili, R. Ya. Metskhvarishvili, Z. N. Miminoshvili, L. V. Nekrasova, and M. A. Élizbarashvili, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 51, 13 (1990).
- [4] J. Lange, K. Kumar, J. H. Hamilton, Rev. Mod. Phys. 54, 119 (1982).
- [5] I. Anicin, Dj. Krampotic, A. Kukoc, and R. Vucanovic, Nucl. Instrum. Methods 83, 293 (1970); 103, 395 (1972).
- [6] M. T. F. da Cruz, G. Kenchian, and I. D. Goldman, IFUSP/P-879, Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo, 1990.
- [7] A. Gammal, Master thesis, University of São Paulo, IFUSP, Brazil, 1990.
- [8] R. M. Steffen, in Angular Correlations in Nuclear Disintegration, Proceedings of the International Conference on Angular Correlations in Nuclear Disintegration, The Netherlands, 1971, edited by H. van Krutgen and B. Nooijen (Rotterdam University Press, Wolter-Noordhoff Publishing, Groningen, The Netherlands, 1971), p. 21.
- [9] D. C. Camp and A. van Lehn, Nucl. Instrum. Methods 76, 192 (1969).

- [10] R. D. Gill, Gamma-Ray Angular Correlations (Academic Press, London, 1975).
- [11] E. Karlsson in Angular Correlations in Nuclear Disintegration[8], pp. 28–66.
- [12] R. S. Hager and E. C. Seltzer, Nucl. Data, Sect. A 4, 1 (1968);
 4, 397 (1968); 6, 1 (1969).
- [13] P. R. Pascholati, Ph.D. thesis, Instituto de Física da USP, São Paulo, Brazil, 1985.
- [14] E. L. Church and J. Weneser, Phys. Rev. 103, 1035 (1956).
- [15] A. V. Aldushchenkov and N. A. Voinova, Nucl. Data Tables 11, 299 (1972).
- [16] N. A. Voinova-Eliseeva and I. A. Mitropolskii, Sov. J. Part. Nucl. 17, 521 (1986).
- [17] T. R. Gerholm and B. G. Petterson, in *Alpha-, Beta- and Gamma Ray Spectroscopy* edited by K. Siegbahn (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1965), pp. 981–995. Also p. 143 of [8].
- [18] M. J. Berger, S. M. Seltzer, S. E. Chappel, J. C. Humphreys, and J. W. Motz, Nucl. Instrum. Methods 69, 181 (1969).
- [19] G. Wang, D. E. Alburger, and E. K. Warburton, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A 260, 413 (1987).
- [20] Table of Isotopes, 7th ed., edited by C. M. Lederer and V. S. Shirley (Wiley, New York, 1978).